**Programs** 

**Examples demonstrating bureaucracy in projects** 

A major problem is that the Guidelines can be changed at any moment; with retroactive relevance

(it is difficult to imagine a greater legal uncertainty).

The Commission does not have a Single Contact Person, to whom one can report disputes (for

example disputes with the project officer). In most countries, including Germany, such a person

exists, e.g. at the Finance Ministry. In absence of such an office, the conditions for absolute

arbitrariness of civil servants is created.

This means that every participant of a stimulus/subsidy program has to fulfil duties that could be

changed any day, but is not provided with the corresponding rights. This is an example of

inappropriate use of the rule of law. The main problem therefore is that there appear to be no rules

for the Commission, except those which it creates itself.

The Commission implements such changes regularly and then breaks these amendments again

when they are not fitting anymore.

An additional problem is the principally cascade-style review, given the fact that accountants

among another are extremely ambitious when it comes to finding errors. Cost-effectively

implemented random spot tests of a project will always leave (acceptable) deficits. In these, a

subsequent accountant will find (often small) points of critique and with these will point out the

deficiencies of his predecessor. This will then lead to a situation in which every accountant carries

out an almost complete audit with an immense amount of effort, in order not to be embarrassed

later on.

To add to the problem, many accountants are well-equipped with clear concepts of the enemy. A

partner from Italy informed us, that the local Commission-authorized accountant had already in

the first meeting stated that he assumed fraud and that he would prove that.

These are examples provided through a brief consultation with EUCAR's members. It is to be

expected that a full investigation would certainly identify more issues of this type.

**Administrative Burdens** 19<sup>th</sup> May 2010, Brussels

1/1